

ACS TQIP GERIATRIC TRAUMA MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

ACS
tqip[®] | TRAUMA
QUALITY
IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM



AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS
*Inspiring Quality:
Highest Standards, Better Outcomes*



Released October 2013

Table of Contents

Background and Introduction	3
Trauma Team Activation.....	3
Initial Evaluation.....	3
Specialized Geriatric Inpatient Care	5
Patient Decision-Making Capacity and Care Preferences	6
Discharge.....	7
Appendix I.....	9
Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults	
Appendix II.....	17
Legally Relevant Criteria for Decision-Making Capacity and Approaches for Assessment of the Patient	
Screening for Depression	
Screening for Alcohol and Substance Abuse	
Assessing Baseline and Current Functional Status in Ambulatory Patients	
Assessing Gait and Mobility Impairment and Fall Risk in Ambulatory Patients	
Frailty Score: Operational Definition	
Frailty Score	
Screening for Nutritional Risk	
Bibliography	21
References.....	27
Expert Panel	28



Background and Introduction

Traumatic injury in the geriatric population is increasing in prevalence and is associated with higher mortality and complication rates compared with younger patients. An appreciation for the decreased physical reserve, presence of various comorbid diseases, and increased risk of elderly-specific complications such as delirium that are more common in elderly patients has prompted development of elderly-specific care protocols within the multidisciplinary trauma service model. The aim is to employ better risk assessment, adherence to key preventive strategies, active surveillance, and prompt recognition and treatment of complications when they occur to reduce mortality and morbidity in this patient population. This document serves to consolidate recommendations from existing guidelines to provide concise, evidence-based, expert panel rated lists of protocols and practices to improve trauma care among elderly patients.

Trauma Team Activation

Elderly patients can experience significant injury in spite of a relatively trivial mechanism. Because of altered baseline vital signs due to changes associated with aging, preexisting disease (for example, hypertension), or medications (for example, beta-blockers), the physiologic response to injury might differ from that seen in younger patients. Alterations in mentation may be attributed to dementia or delirium, leading to the potential for late recognition of shock or traumatic brain injury. These factors increase the risk for undertriage by both emergency medical services (EMS) and emergency department (ED) personnel. Undertriage of the elderly is associated with a two-fold increase in the risk of death. To mitigate late recognition of significant injuries, a lower threshold for trauma team activation should be used for elderly trauma patients. In many cases, this approach would require elevating the level of activation by one tier based on age.

- Ensure trauma team activation for all elderly injured patients meeting trauma criteria (first or second tier).

Initial Evaluation

The primary survey for the elderly is the same as for any injured patient, but the secondary survey should emphasize the following:

- Determine medications that affect initial evaluation and care.
 - Coumadin
 - Clopidogrel
 - Other anticoagulants
 - ASA
 - Beta blockers
 - ACE inhibitors
- Consider common, acute, nontraumatic events that could complicate the patient's presentation, including:
 - Acute coronary syndrome (EKG)
 - Hypovolemia/dehydration
 - Urinary tract infection
 - Pneumonia
 - Acute renal failure
 - Cerebrovascular event
 - Syncope
- Lab assessment:

Hypoperfusion is often underappreciated in the elderly. Base deficit should be assessed expediently to identify those patients in occult shock who need resuscitation, abbreviated evaluation, and admission to an intensive care unit. The following panel of laboratory studies is suggested for all elderly patients with injury:

 - Lactic acid or blood gas (arterial or venous) for baseline base deficit
 - PT/PTT/INR
 - Renal function (BUN, Cr, estimated GFR)
 - Blood alcohol level
 - Urine toxicology screen
 - Serum electrolytes



- **Imaging:**

Occult injuries are common in the elderly. Initial imaging should include liberal use of computed tomography (CT) scanning for blunt injury. While the liberal use of CT scan imaging has become controversial because of concerns of radiation exposure and cost, occult injuries are common in the elderly and radiation exposure is of minimal risk.

- ▶ Imaging should include all CT scans needed to rule out injury in appropriate areas at risk.

- **Anticoagulation assessment and reversal:**

The frequent use of warfarin, antiplatelet agents (for example, clopidogrel, aspirin), direct thrombin inhibitors (for example, dabigatran), and direct factor Xa inhibitors (for example, rivaroxaban) in the elderly puts them at higher risk for significant bleeding events, even in the context of minor injury. Additionally, with the exception of warfarin, where anticoagulant effect parallels the international normalized ratio (INR), an assessment of the level of anticoagulation is not possible with laboratory investigations that are routinely part of the initial evaluation of the injured patient. This field is changing rapidly, but the following general principles apply:

- ▶ A normal INR should exclude the presence of significant levels of dabigatran or other novel anticoagulants in most, but not all, patients; however, note that the INR might be only minimally increased in the presence of therapeutic doses of dabigatran. Rivaroxaban increases the INR at therapeutic levels, but the effects are not equivalent to target levels of warfarin.
- ▶ Partial thromboplastin time (PTT) might be slightly prolonged with dabigatran, depending on the instruments/reagents used for laboratory assessment. Rivaroxaban might cause mild PTT prolongations in most patients with therapeutic levels.
- ▶ **Other tests:**
 - ▶ **Thrombin time:** Dabigatran increases the thrombin time (TT). A normal TT excludes Dabigatran; however, note that Rivaroxaban does not prolong the TT.
 - ▶ **Thromboelastography (TEG):** TEG is useful in identifying the presence of dabigatran or ribaroxaban effect. TEG will also identify the presence of effects of platelet inhibitors like clopidogrel.

- **Anticoagulation reversal:**

This field and the availability of products for reversal are also changing rapidly. A protocol to rapid anticoagulation reversal is associated with improved outcomes in injured patients.

- ▶ It is suggested that a rapid anticoagulation reversal protocol be developed in each center based on the availability of products, local costs, and preferences. In general, the following principles should be applied:
 - ▶ **Warfarin reversal:** While reversal of warfarin was typically managed using a combination of vitamin K and plasma in the past, the availability and assessment of newer prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC) have provided other options. PCCs available as four-factor concentrates (II, VII, IX, and X) can reverse the effects of warfarin rapidly and are considered the standard in most countries other than the U.S. At this time, only three-factor concentrates are available in the U.S. These PCCs lack factor VII and must either be given with plasma or rVIIa.
 - ▶ **Dabigatran:** There is no means of reversing dabigatran. While dialysis can be used, it is often not practical in the context of acute resuscitation. As dabigatran is an inhibitor of direct thrombin inhibitor, administration of plasma is not effective.
 - ▶ **Rivaroxaban:** Like dabigatran there is no agent that directly reverses the effects of this factor Xa inhibitor. However, early studies suggest that the effect might in part be reversed by PCCs.
 - ▶ **Clopidogrel, aspirin:** There are no agents that directly reverse the effects of these platelet antagonists. DDAVP or platelet transfusion can be considered in the face of significant bleeding.



Specialized Geriatric Inpatient Care

A proactive geriatric consultation is one in which an individual with expertise in the management of the geriatric patient (most often a geriatrician) evaluates a patient early following hospitalization and prior to complications developing. This evaluation includes a comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA), which is a multidimensional, multidisciplinary diagnostic instrument designed to collect data on the medical, psychosocial, and functional capabilities and limitations of elderly patients. The information derived from this assessment assists in developing treatment and follow-up plans. In 22 randomized trials including more than 10,000 patients, a CGA followed by appropriate treatment and follow-up increases a patient's likelihood of being alive and in his or her own home at one year following discharge by 25 percent. In trauma, proactive geriatric consultation has been associated with fewer episodes of delirium, fewer in-hospital falls, lesser likelihood of discharge to a long-term care facility, and a shorter length of stay. An alternate approach is to concentrate care of the geriatric patient so that care pathways are developed and expertise accrues to benefit the patient. For example, Mangram et al developed a geriatric trauma service ("G-60 service") in which all patients age > 60 were admitted. This service worked in collaboration with a medical hospitalist, physiatrist, physical/occupational therapist, respiratory therapist, nursing supervisor with geriatric expertise, social worker, nutritionist, pharmacist, and a palliative care specialist. Implementation of this service was associated with a reduction in time to the operating room (OR), hospital and ICU length of stay, and rates of several complications.

- Develop criteria for early geriatric consultation and geriatric expertise on the multidisciplinary trauma care team.

Where limitations with geriatrician resources impede routine geriatric consultation, the following screening criteria may identify patients most likely to benefit from geriatric consultation. These criteria were adapted from the Identification of Seniors at Risk (ISAR) screening tool. A positive ISAR (≥ 2) has been associated with a greater likelihood of functional decline, nursing home admission, long-term hospitalization, or death.

- If the response to two or more of the following questions is "yes," geriatric consultation should be obtained:
 - ▶ Before you were injured, did you need someone to help you on a regular basis?
 - ▶ Since the injury, have you needed more help than usual to take care of yourself?
 - ▶ Have you been hospitalized for one or more nights during the past six months?
 - ▶ In general, do you have problems seeing well?
 - ▶ In general, do you have serious problems with your memory?
 - ▶ Do you take more than three different medications every day?

Geriatric trauma patients are at particular risk for medication-related adverse events.

- Establish past medication history.
 - ▶ Attempt to communicate with the patient's immediate family and physician.
 - ▶ Document the patient's complete medication list, including over-the-counter and complementary/alternative medication.
- Use the following geriatric medication prescribing recommendations:
 - ▶ Follow Beers Criteria. Use Beers Criteria in decision making about pharmacotherapy. See Appendix 1.
 - ▶ Discontinue nonessential medications.
 - ▶ Continue medications with withdrawal potential, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), tricyclic antidepressants, benzodiazepines, antipsychotics, monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), beta blockers, clonidine, statins, and corticosteroids.
 - ▶ Continue β -blocker or start if indicated.
 - ▶ Continue statins when appropriate.
 - ▶ Adjust doses of medications for renal function based on glomerular filtration rate.



Effective pain management can be a central determinant of success in the drive to improve pulmonary and toilet functions, optimize mobility, and mitigate delirium.

- The following pain medication strategies are recommended:
 - ▶ Use elderly-appropriate medications and dose.
 - ▶ Avoid benzodiazepines.
 - ▶ Monitor use of narcotics; consider early implementation of patient-controlled analgesia.
 - ▶ Consider early use of nonnarcotics, including NSAIDs, adjuncts, and tramadol.
 - ▶ Epidural analgesia may be preferable to other means for patients with multiple rib fractures to avoid respiratory failure.

It is important to obtain preinjury chronic medical conditions and functional status soon after admission. While it may not be possible to obtain this information immediately, it is imperative to do so as part of the tertiary survey to facilitate hospital care and discharge planning. The compilation of this information and the development of a subsequent care plan may be performed by a formal geriatrician consult or by adding personnel with geriatric expertise to the multidisciplinary trauma team. See Appendix 2.

- Establish past history of elderly-specific comorbidities, including:
 - ▶ Pulmonary disease
 - ▶ Chronic renal failure
 - ▶ Chronic anemia
 - ▶ Depression
 - ▶ Baseline cognitive impairment
 - ▶ Baseline functional impairment
 - ▶ Baseline frailty scores
 - ▶ Baseline nutritional status
 - ▶ Alcohol, tobacco, drug abuse or dependence (benzodiazepines, oxycodone)
 - ▶ Thyroid dysfunction
 - ▶ Glucose intolerance
 - ▶ Decubitus ulcer

Patient Decision-Making Capacity and Care Preferences

More than 40 percent of patients require decision making near the end of life, with 70 percent of those patients lacking decision-making capacity. Injured patients and their families are suddenly thrust into a situation where health and subsequent quality of life are placed in jeopardy. Unfortunately, decision making and treatment preferences may not have been established. The patient's current condition and prognosis should be clearly communicated to the patient and their family. Important decisions that will need to be made regarding treatment must be emphasized so that patient treatment preferences can be considered. Treatment burden and potential functional outcome play a large role in the decision-making process. In cases of impaired cognition, identification of the proxy decision maker is of importance, while realizing that surrogates may not always be fully aware of the patient's treatment preferences. Liberal use of palliative care services can help with complex decision making.

- Discuss with family, surrogates, and the health care team and document in the medical record the following:
 - ▶ Patient's priorities and preferences regarding treatment options (including operative and nonoperative alternatives)
 - ▶ Postinjury risks of complications, mortality, and temporary/permanent functional decline
 - ▶ Advance directives or living will and how these will affect initial care and life-sustaining preferences, including mechanical ventilation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), hemodialysis, blood transfusion, permanent enteral feeding, and transition to comfort care should complications occur
 - ▶ Identify surrogate decision maker
 - ▶ Make liberal use of palliative care options
 - ▶ In appropriate setting, present hospice as a positive active treatment



- Hold a family meeting within 72 hours of admission to discuss goals of care.

Delirium is common in elderly patients after injury and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. It is important to assess the patient's baseline cognitive function, assess risk factors for delirium, and monitor for signs and symptoms of delirium on a daily basis. The Mini-Cog is a short assessment tool that can be used for this purpose. Knowledgeable informants, such as family, may need to assist in providing preinjury baseline status.

- Regularly evaluate and address delirium risk factors:
 - Cognitive impairment and dementia
 - Depression
 - Alcohol use
 - Polypharmacy and psychotropic medications
 - Poor nutrition
 - Hearing and vision impairment
- Regularly monitor for reversible causes of delirium:
 - Wake-sleep cycle disturbances and sleep deprivation
 - Immobilization
 - Hypoxia
 - Infection
 - Uncontrolled pain
 - Renal insufficiency, dehydration, and electrolyte abnormalities
 - Urinary retention or presence of urinary catheter
 - Fecal impaction or constipation
 - Use of restraints

The elderly may have limited reserve to tolerate changes in intravascular volume. It is important to prevent or correct occult hypovolemia as well as volume overload.

- Monitor the patient's fluid status with the following:
 - Daily fluid inputs and outputs
 - Daily weights
 - Consider central venous pressure monitoring
 - Consider noninvasive cardiac output in the ICU

Postoperative and in-hospital complications contribute to extended length of stay, functional outcome, and cost for the trauma patient. In traumatically injured patients, functional ability, including gait and fall risk, should be assessed as early as possible and compared with established baseline function. Early mobilization and the use of standardized care bundles can help prevent development of many iatrogenic complications.

- Protect patients from iatrogenic complications and functional decline:
 - Develop a plan for early mobilization. Ensure ambulation within 48 hours of admission.
 - Assess for fall risk and address.
 - Institute aspiration precautions:
 - Head of bed elevation at all times with repositioning.
 - Sitting upright while eating and two hours after completion of eating.
 - Evaluate for swallowing deficits.
 - Perform chest physical therapy by incentive spirometer or deep breathing exercises.
 - Place on bowel regimen if given opiates.
 - Perform screening for:
 - Presence for pressure ulcers with Braden or Norton scale within 24 hours of diagnosis.
 - Daily documentation of skin integrity.



Discharge

Traumatic injury is a sentinel event that can precipitate a trajectory of functional decline in older patients. Studies show that the majority (up to 88 percent) of seriously injured older patients fail to return to their previous level of independence and function, with many requiring long-term nursing home placement. In addition to medical comorbidities that accompany aging, psychosocial issues (for example, availability of a caregiver, home safety) complicate the hospital and postdischarge care of these patients. Despite the magnitude of the problem, little is known about how to improve functional outcomes of injured elderly.

- Begin developing a plan for transition to posthospital care or special unit care in the immediate postinjury period.
- Assess the following discharge planning issues early during hospitalization:
 - Home environment, social support, and possible needs for medical equipment and/or home health services
 - Patient acceptance/denial of nursing home or skilled nursing facility placement
- Provide the patient or caregiver with a written discharge document, including:
 - Discharge diagnosis
 - Medications and clear dosing instructions and possible reactions
 - Documentation of reconciliation between outpatient and inpatient medications
 - Directions for wound care
 - Instructions for diet (nutrition plan) and mobility
 - Needs for physical and occupational therapy
 - Contact information for the patient's continuity physician or clinic
 - Establish an appointment with continuity physician, specialty physicians, or clinic
 - Clear documentation of incidental findings that mandate follow-up
 - Documentation of follow-up appointment/telephone contact with the surgeon six weeks after surgery
 - Documentation of pending laboratory tests or diagnostic studies, if applicable

- Communicate the results of the hospitalization to the patient's primary care physician (PCP). Provide PCP with the discharge summary. Verbal communication with the PCP can be very helpful.
- Provide the receiving facility with a discharge summary prior to the patient's departure from the hospital. Verbal communication with the receiving facility can be very helpful.
- Arrange for a home health visit or follow-up phone call within one to three days of discharge to assess:
 - Pain control
 - Tolerance of food, liquids
 - Ability to ambulate
 - Mental status
 - Understanding of postdischarge instructions/medications



Appendix 1

Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults

2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults ¹				
Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
Anticholinergics (excludes TCAs)				
First-generation antihistamines (as single agent or as part of combination products) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Brompheniramine ● Carbinoxamine ● Chlorpheniramine ● Clemastine ● Cyproheptadine ● Dexbrompheniramine ● Dexchlorpheniramine ● Diphenhydramine (oral) ● Doxylamine ● Hydroxyzine ● Promethazine ● Triprolidine 	Highly anticholinergic; clearance reduced with advanced age, and tolerance develops when used as hypnotic; increased risk of confusion, dry mouth, constipation, and other anticholinergic effects/toxicity Use of diphenhydramine in special situations such as acute treatment of severe allergic reaction may be appropriate	Avoid	Hydroxyzine and promethazine: High All others: Moderate	Strong
Anti-Parkinson agents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Benztropine (oral) ● Trihexyphenidyl 	Not recommended for prevention of extrapyramidal symptoms with antipsychotics; more effective agents available for treatment of Parkinson disease	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Antispasmodics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Belladonna alkaloids ● Clidinium-chlordiazepoxide ● Dicyclomine ● Hyoscyamine ● Propantheline ● Scopolamine 	Highly anticholinergic, uncertain effectiveness	Avoid except in short-term palliative care to decrease oral secretions	Moderate	Strong
Antithrombotics				
Dipyridamole, oral short-acting* (does not apply to the extended-release combination with aspirin)	May cause orthostatic hypotension; more effective alternatives available; IV form acceptable for use in cardiac stress testing	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Ticlopidine*	Safer, effective alternatives available	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Antiinfective				
Nitrofurantoin	Potential for pulmonary toxicity; safer alternatives available; lack of efficacy in patients with CrCl <60 mL/min due to inadequate drug concentration in the urine	Avoid for long-term suppression; avoid in patients with CrCl <60 mL/min	Moderate	Strong



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults¹

Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
Cardiovascular				
Alpha ₁ blockers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Doxazosin ● Prazosin ● Terazosin 	High risk of orthostatic hypotension; not recommended as routine treatment for hypertension; alternative agents have superior risk/benefit profile	Avoid use as an antihypertensive	Moderate	Strong
Alpha blockers, central <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Clonidine ● Guanabenz* ● Guanfacine* ● Methyldopa* ● Reserpine (>0.1 mg/day)* 	High risk of adverse CNS effects; may cause bradycardia and orthostatic hypotension; not recommended as routine treatment for hypertension	Avoid clonidine as a first-line antihypertensive Avoid others as listed	Low	Strong
Antiarrhythmic drugs (Class Ia, Ic, III) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Amiodarone ● Dofetilide ● Dronedarone ● Flecainide ● Ibutilide ● Procainamide ● Propafenone ● Quinidine ● Sotalol 	Data suggest that rate control yields better balance of benefits and harms than rhythm control for most older adults Amiodarone is associated with multiple toxicities, including thyroid disease, pulmonary disorders, and QT interval prolongation	Avoid antiarrhythmic drugs as first-line treatment of atrial fibrillation	High	Strong
Disopyramide*	Disopyramide is a potent negative inotrope and therefore may induce heart failure in older adults; strongly anticholinergic; other antiarrhythmic drugs preferred	Avoid	Low	Strong
Dronedarone	Worse outcomes have been reported in patients taking dronedarone who have permanent atrial fibrillation or heart failure In general, rate control is preferred over rhythm control for atrial fibrillation	Avoid in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation or heart failure	Moderate	Strong
Digoxin >0.125 mg/day	In heart failure, higher dosages associated with no additional benefit and may increase risk of toxicity; decreased renal clearance may lead to increased risk of toxic effects	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Nifedipine, immediate release*	Potential for hypotension; risk of precipitating myocardial ischemia	Avoid	High	Strong



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults¹

Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
Spironolactone >25 mg/day	In heart failure, the risk of hyperkalemia is higher in older adults if taking >25 mg/day	Avoid in patients with heart failure or with a CrCl <30 mL/min	Moderate	Strong
Central Nervous System				
Tertiary TCAs, alone or in combination: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Amitriptyline ● Chlordiazepoxide-amitriptyline ● Clomipramine ● Doxepin >6 mg/day ● Imipramine ● Perphenazine-amitriptyline ● Trimipramine 	Highly anticholinergic, sedating, and causes orthostatic hypotension; the safety profile of low-dose doxepin (≤6 mg/day) is comparable to that of placebo	Avoid	High	Strong
Antipsychotics, first- (conventional) and second- (atypical) generation (see Table First- and Second-Generation Antipsychotics on page 15 for full list)	Increased risk of cerebrovascular accident (stroke) and mortality in persons with dementia	Avoid use for behavioral problems of dementia unless nonpharmacologic options have failed and patient is threat to self or others	Moderate	Strong
Thioridazine Mesoridazine	Highly anticholinergic and greater risk of QT-interval prolongation	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Barbiturates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Amobarbital* ● Butabarbital* ● Butalbital ● Mephobarbital* ● Pentobarbital* ● Phenobarbital ● Secobarbital* 	High rate of physical dependence; tolerance to sleep benefits; greater risk of overdose at low dosages	Avoid	High	Strong



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults¹

Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
<p>Benzodiazepines</p> <p>SHORT- AND INTERMEDIATE-ACTING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Alprazolam ● Estazolam ● Lorazepam ● Oxazepam ● Temazepam ● Triazolam <p>LONG-ACTING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chlorzepate ● Chlordiazepoxide ● Chlordiazepoxide-amitriptyline ● Clidinium-chlordiazepoxide ● Clonazepam ● Diazepam ● Flurazepam ● Quazepam 	<p>Older adults have increased sensitivity to benzodiazepines and decreased metabolism of long-acting agents; in general, all benzodiazepines increase risk of cognitive impairment, delirium, falls, fractures, and motor vehicle accidents in older adults</p> <p>May be appropriate for seizure disorders, rapid eye movement sleep disorders, benzodiazepine withdrawal, ethanol withdrawal, severe generalized anxiety disorder, periprocedural anesthesia, end-of-life care</p>	Avoid benzodiazepines (any type) for treatment of insomnia, agitation, or delirium	High	Strong
Chloral hydrate*	Tolerance occurs within 10 days and risk outweighs the benefits in light of overdose with doses only 3 times the recommended dose	Avoid	Low	Strong
Meprobamate	High rate of physical dependence; very sedating	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
<p>Nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Eszopiclone ● Zolpidem ● Zaleplon 	Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists that have adverse events similar to those of benzodiazepines in older adults (for example, delirium, falls, fractures); minimal improvement in sleep latency and duration	Avoid chronic use (>90 days)	Moderate	Strong
<p>Ergot mesylates*</p> <p>Isoxsuprine*</p>	Lack of efficacy	Avoid	High	Strong
Endocrine				
<p>Androgens</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Methyltestosterone* ● Testosterone 	Potential for cardiac problems and contraindicated in men with prostate cancer	Avoid unless indicated for moderate to severe hypogonadism	Moderate	Weak
Desiccated thyroid	Concerns about cardiac effects; safer alternatives available	Avoid	Low	Strong



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults¹

Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
Estrogens with or without progestins	Evidence of carcinogenic potential (breast and endometrium); lack of cardioprotective effect and cognitive protection in older women Evidence that vaginal estrogens for treatment of vaginal dryness is safe and effective in women with breast cancer, especially at dosages of estradiol <25 mcg twice weekly	Avoid oral and topical patch Topical vaginal cream: Acceptable to use low-dose intravaginal estrogen for the management of dyspareunia, lower urinary tract infections, and other vaginal symptoms	Oral and patch: High Topical: Moderate	Oral and patch: Strong Topical: Weak
Growth hormone	Impact on body composition is small and associated with edema, arthralgia, carpal tunnel syndrome, gynecomastia, impaired fasting glucose	Avoid, except as hormone replacement following pituitary gland removal	High	Strong
Insulin, sliding scale	Higher risk of hypoglycemia without improvement in hyperglycemia management regardless of care setting	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Megestrol	Minimal effect on weight; increases risk of thrombotic events and possibly death in older adults	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Sulfonylureas, long-duration ● Chlorpropamide ● Glyburide	Chlorpropamide: Prolonged half-life in older adults; can cause prolonged hypoglycemia; causes SIADH Glyburide: higher risk of severe prolonged hypoglycemia in older adults	Avoid	High	Strong
Gastrointestinal				
Metoclopramide	Can cause extrapyramidal effects including tardive dyskinesia; risk may be further increased in frail older adult.	Avoid, unless for gastroparesis	Moderate	Strong
Mineral oil, given orally	Potential for aspiration and adverse effects; safer alternatives available	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Trimethobenzamide	One of the least effective antiemetic drugs; can cause extrapyramidal adverse effects	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Pain Medications				
Meperidine	Not an effective oral analgesic in dosages commonly used; may cause neurotoxicity; safer alternatives available	Avoid	High	Strong



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults¹

Organ System/ Therapeutic Category/Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recom- mendation
Non-COX-selective NSAIDs, oral <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Aspirin >325 mg/day ● Diclofenac ● Diflunisal ● Etodolac ● Fenoprofen ● Ibuprofen ● Ketoprofen ● Meclofenamate ● Mefenamic acid ● Meloxicam ● Nabumetone ● Naproxen ● Oxaprozin ● Piroxicam ● Sulindac ● Tolmetin 	Increases risk of GI bleeding/peptic ulcer disease in high-risk groups, including those >75 years old or taking oral or parenteral corticosteroids, anticoagulants, or antiplatelet agents; use of proton pump inhibitor or misoprostol reduces but does not eliminate risk; upper GI ulcers, gross bleeding, or perforation caused by NSAIDs occur in approximately 1% of patients treated for 3–6 months, and in about 2%–4% of patients treated for 1 year; these trends continue with longer duration of use	Avoid chronic use unless other alternatives are not effective and patient can take gastroprotective agent (proton-pump inhibitor or misoprostol)	All others: Moderate	Strong
Indomethacin Ketorolac, includes parenteral	Increases risk of GI bleeding/peptic ulcer disease in high-risk groups (see above non-COX-selective NSAIDs) Of all the NSAIDs, indomethacin has most adverse effects	Avoid	Indomethacin: Moderate Ketorolac: High	Strong
Pentazocine*	Opioid analgesic that causes CNS adverse effects, including confusion and hallucinations, more commonly than other narcotic drugs; is also a mixed agonist and antagonist; safer alternatives available	Avoid	Low	Strong
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Skeletal muscle relaxants ● Carisoprodol ● Chlorzoxazone ● Cyclobenzaprine ● Metaxalone ● Methocarbamol ● Orphenadrine 	Most muscle relaxants poorly tolerated by older adults because of anticholinergic adverse effects, sedation, increased risk of fractures; effectiveness at dosages tolerated by older adults is questionable.	Avoid	Moderate	Strong

*Infrequently used drugs

ABBREVIATIONS: ACEI, angiotensin converting-enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; CNS, central nervous system; COX, cyclooxygenase; CrCl, creatinine clearance; GI, gastrointestinal; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs; SIADH, syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion; TCAs, tricyclic antidepressants.



2012 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medications to Be Used with Caution in Older Adults¹

Drug(s)	Rationale	Recommendation	Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
Aspirin for primary prevention of cardiac events	Lack of evidence of benefit versus risk in individuals ≥ 80 years old	Use with caution in adults ≥ 80 years old	Low	Weak
Dabigatran	Increased risk of bleeding compared with warfarin in adults ≥ 75 years old; lack of evidence for efficacy and safety in patients with CrCl < 30 mL/min	Use with caution in adults ≥ 75 years old or if CrCl < 30 mL/min	Moderate	Weak
Prasugrel	Increased risk of bleeding in older adults; risk may be offset by benefit in highest-risk older patients (for example, those with prior myocardial infarction or diabetes)	Use with caution in adults ≥ 75 years old	Moderate	Weak
Antipsychotics Carbamazepine Carboplatin Cisplatin Mirtazapine SNRIs SSRIs TCAs Vincristine	May exacerbate or cause SIADH or hyponatremia; need to monitor sodium level closely when starting or changing dosages in older adults due to increased risk	Use with caution	Moderate	Strong
Vasodilators	May exacerbate episodes of syncope in individuals with history of syncope	Use with caution	Moderate	Weak

ABBREVIATIONS: CrCl, creatinine clearance; SIADH, syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion; SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; SNRIs, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; TCAs, tricyclic antidepressants.

First- and Second-Generation Antipsychotics¹

First-Generation (Conventional) Agents	Second-Generation (Atypical) Agents
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chlorpromazine ● Fluphenazine ● Haloperidol ● Loxapine ● Molindone ● Perphenazine ● Pimozide ● Promazine ● Thioridazine ● Thiothixene ● Trifluoperazine ● Triflupromazine 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Aripiprazole ● Asenapine ● Clozapine ● Iloperidone ● Lurasidone ● Olanzapine ● Paliperidone ● Quetiapine ● Risperidone ● Ziprasidone



Drugs with Strong Anticholinergic Properties¹

Antihistamines	Anti-Parkinson Agents
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Brompheniramine ● Carbinoxamine ● Chlorpheniramine ● Clemastine ● Cyproheptadine ● Dimenhydrinate ● Diphenhydramine ● Hydroxyzine ● Loratadine ● Meclizine 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Benztropine ● Trihexyphenidyl
Antidepressants	Antipsychotics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Amitriptyline ● Amoxapine ● Clomipramine ● Desipramine ● Doxepin ● Imipramine ● Nortriptyline ● Paroxetine ● Protriptyline ● Trimipramine 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chlorpromazine ● Clozapine ● Fluphenazine ● Loxapine ● Olanzapine ● Perphenazine ● Pimozide ● Prochlorperazine ● Promethazine ● Thioridazine ● Thiothixene ● Trifluoperazine
Antimuscarinics (Urinary Incontinence)	Antispasmodics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Darifenacin ● Fesoterodine ● Flavoxate ● Oxybutynin ● Solifenacin ● Tolterodine ● Trospium 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Atropine products ● Belladonna alkaloids ● Dicyclomine ● Homatropine ● Hyoscyamine products ● Loperamide ● Propantheline ● Scopolamine



Appendix 2

Legally Relevant Criteria for Decision-Making Capacity and Approaches to Assessment of the Patient²

Criterion	Patient's Task	Physician's Assessment Approach	Questions for Clinical Assessment*	Comments
Communicate a choice	Clearly indicate preferred treatment option	Ask patient to indicate a treatment choice	Have you decided whether to follow your doctor's [or my] recommendation for treatment? Can you tell me what that decision is? [If no decision] What is making it hard for you to decide?	Frequent reversals of choice because of psychiatric or neurologic conditions may indicate lack of capacity
Understand the relevant information	Grasp the fundamental meaning of information communicated by physician	Encourage patient to paraphrase disclosed information regarding medical condition and treatment	Please tell me in your own words what your doctor [or I] told you about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The problem with your health now • The recommended treatment • The possible benefits and risks (or discomforts) of the treatment • Any alternative treatments and their risks and benefits • The risks and benefits of no treatment 	Information to be understood includes nature of patient's condition, nature and purpose of proposed treatment, possible benefits and risks of that treatment, and alternative approaches (including no treatment) and their benefits and risks
Appreciate the situation and its consequences	Acknowledge medical condition and likely consequences of treatment options	Ask patient to describe views of medical condition, proposed treatment, and likely outcomes	What do you believe is wrong with your health now? Do you believe that you need some kind of treatment? What is treatment likely to do for you? What makes you believe it will have that effect? What do you believe will happen if you are not treated? Why do you think your doctor has [or I have] recommended this treatment?	Courts have recognized that patients who do not acknowledge their illnesses (often referred to as "lack of insight") cannot make valid decisions about treatment Delusions or pathologic levels of distortion or denial are the most common causes of impairment
Reason about treatment options	Engage in a rational process of manipulating the relevant information	Ask patient to compare treatment options and consequences and to offer reasons for selection of option	How did you decide to accept or reject the recommended treatment? What makes [chosen option] better than [alternative option]?	This criterion focuses on the process by which a decision is reached, not the outcome of the patient's choice, since patients have the right to make "unreasonable" choices

* Patients' responses to these questions need not be verbal.



Screening for Depression

Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2)³

1. *In the past 12 months, have you ever had a time when you felt sad, blue, depressed, or down for most of the time for at least two weeks?*
2. *In the past 12 months, have you ever had a time, lasting at least two weeks, when you didn't care about the things that you usually care about or when you didn't enjoy the things that you usually enjoy?*

Interpretation of PHQ-2

If the patient answers YES to either question, then further evaluation by a primary care physician, geriatrician, or mental health specialist is recommended.

NOTE: This screening test has not been validated in extremely frail elderly patients, those with severe concurrent medical illnesses, those who are suffering from medication side effects, or those with impaired communication skills.

Screening for Alcohol and Substance Abuse

Modified Version of CAGE⁴⁻⁷

Ask the patient the following four questions:

1. *Have you ever felt you should **C**ut down on your drinking or drug use?*
2. *Have people **A**nnoyed you by criticizing your drinking or drug use?*
3. *Have you ever felt bad or **G**uilty about your drinking or drug use?*
4. *Have you ever had a drink or drug first thing in the morning (**E**ye-opener) to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?*

Interpretation of Modified CAGE

If YES to any of these questions, consider perioperative prophylaxis for withdrawal syndromes.

If operation can be delayed, consider referring motivated patients to substance abuse specialist for preoperative abstinence or medical detoxification.

Patients with alcohol use disorder should receive perioperative daily multivitamins (with folic acid) and high-dose oral or parental thiamine (100 mg).

Assessing Baseline and Current Functional Status in Ambulatory Patients

Short Simple Screening Test for Functional Assessment^{8,9}

Ask the patient the following four questions:

1. *Can you get out of bed or chair yourself?*
2. *Can you dress and bathe yourself?*
3. *Can you make your own meals?*
4. *Can you do your own shopping?*

Interpretation of Functional Screening Test

If NO to any of these questions, more in-depth evaluation should be performed, including full screening of ADLs and IADLs.

Deficits should be documented and may prompt perioperative interventions (for example, referral to occupational therapy and/or physical therapy) and proactive discharge planning.



Assessing Gait and Mobility Impairment and Fall Risk in Ambulatory Patients¹⁰⁻¹²

Timed Up and Go Test (TUGT)

Patients should sit in a standard armchair with a line 10 feet in length in front of the chair. They should use standard footwear and walking aids and should not receive any assistance.

Have the patient perform the following commands:

1. *Rise from the chair (if possible, without using the armrests)*
2. *Walk to the line on the floor (10 feet)*
3. *Turn*
4. *Return to the chair*
5. *Sit down again*

Interpretation of TUGT

Any person demonstrating difficulty rising from the chair or requiring more than 15 seconds to complete the test is at high risk for falls. Consider preoperative referral to physical therapy for more detailed gait assessment.

Frailty Score: Operational Definition¹³

Criteria	Definition
Shrinkage	Unintentional weight loss ≥ 10 pounds in past year
Weakness	Decreased grip strength
Exhaustion	Self-reported poor energy and endurance
Low physical activity	Low weekly energy expenditure
Slowness	Slow walking

Interpretation of the Frailty Score

The patient receives 1 point for each criterion met.

- 0–1 = Not Frail
- 2–3 = Intermediate Frail (Pre-frail)
- 4–5 = Frail

Frail patients are at much higher risk of adverse health outcomes.

Intermediate frail patients are at elevated risk (less than frail ones) but are also at more than double the risk of becoming frail over 3 years.



Frailty Score¹⁴⁻¹⁵

Patient receives one point for each criterion (0–5)

Frailty Criteria	Definition																								
Weight loss	Unintentional weight loss ≥ 10 pounds in the past year.																								
Decreased grip strength (weakness)	<p>Grip strength in the lowest 20th percentile by gender and BMI. Three trials are performed with a hand-held dynamometer and the average value is used.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Men</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Women</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">BMI</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Kg Force</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">BMI</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Kg Force</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>≤ 24</td> <td>≤ 29</td> <td>≤ 23</td> <td>≤ 17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24.1–26</td> <td>≤ 30</td> <td>23.1–26</td> <td>≤ 17.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26.1–28</td> <td>≤ 30</td> <td>26.1–29</td> <td>≤ 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>> 28</td> <td>≤ 32</td> <td>> 29</td> <td>≤ 21</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Men		Women		BMI	Kg Force	BMI	Kg Force	≤ 24	≤ 29	≤ 23	≤ 17	24.1–26	≤ 30	23.1–26	≤ 17.3	26.1–28	≤ 30	26.1–29	≤ 18	> 28	≤ 32	> 29	≤ 21
Men		Women																							
BMI	Kg Force	BMI	Kg Force																						
≤ 24	≤ 29	≤ 23	≤ 17																						
24.1–26	≤ 30	23.1–26	≤ 17.3																						
26.1–28	≤ 30	26.1–29	≤ 18																						
> 28	≤ 32	> 29	≤ 21																						
Exhaustion	<p>For the following two statements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>"I felt that everything I did was an effort."</i> <i>"I could not get going."</i> <p>The patient is asked: "How often in the last week did you feel this way?"</p> <p>0 = rarely or none of the time (<1 day) 1 = some or a little of the time (1–2 days) 2 = a moderate amount of the time (3–4 days) 3 = most of the time</p> <p>The criterion is met if patient answers 2 or 3 to either statement.</p>																								
Low physical activity	<p>Weekly energy expenditure, determined with the short version of the Minnesota Leisure Time Activities Questionnaire in the lowest 20th percentile by gender:</p> <p>Men: <383 kcal/week. Women: <270 kcal/week.</p>																								
Slowed walking speed	<p>Walking speed in the lowest 20th percentile by gender and height. Time is measured for a distance of 15 feet at normal pace. The average of three trials is used.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Men</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Women</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Height</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Time</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Height</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Time</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>≤ 173 cm</td> <td>≥ 7 sec</td> <td>≤ 159 cm</td> <td>≥ 7 sec</td> </tr> <tr> <td>> 173 cm</td> <td>≥ 6 sec</td> <td>> 159 cm</td> <td>≥ 6 sec</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Men		Women		Height	Time	Height	Time	≤ 173 cm	≥ 7 sec	≤ 159 cm	≥ 7 sec	> 173 cm	≥ 6 sec	> 159 cm	≥ 6 sec								
Men		Women																							
Height	Time	Height	Time																						
≤ 173 cm	≥ 7 sec	≤ 159 cm	≥ 7 sec																						
> 173 cm	≥ 6 sec	> 159 cm	≥ 6 sec																						

Screening for Severe Nutritional Risk¹⁶

Risk Factors for Severe Nutritional Risk

- BMI <18.5 kg/m²
- Serum albumin <3.0 g/dL (with no evidence of hepatic or renal dysfunction)
- Unintentional weight loss >10%–15% within 6 months

Interpretation of Nutritional Screening

If YES to any above criterion, then the patient is at severe nutritional risk and should, if feasible, undergo a full nutritional assessment by a dietician to design a perioperative nutritional plan to address deficits.



Bibliography

Background and Introduction

Bradburn E, Rogers FB, Krasne M, Rogers A, Horst MA, Belan MJ, Miller JA. High-risk geriatric protocol: Improving mortality in the elderly. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. August 2012;73(2):435-440.

Calland JF, Ingraham AM, Martin ND, Marshall GT, Schulman CI, Stapleton T, Barraco RD. Geriatric Trauma Update. *Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma Workgroup*. 2010. Available at: www.EAST.org. Accessed October 3, 2011.

Chow WB, Ko CY, Rosenthal RA, Esnaola NF, Merkow RP. Optimal Preoperative Assessment of the Geriatric Surgical Patient: A Best Practices Guideline from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the American Geriatrics Society.

Demetriades D, Karaiskakis M, Velmahos G, Alo K, Newton E, Murray J, Asensio J, Belzberg H, Berne T, Shoemaker W. Effect on outcome of early intensive management of geriatric trauma patients. *Br J Surg*. 2002;89:1319-1322.

Jacobs DG. Special considerations in geriatric injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2003;9:535-539.

Mangram AJ, Mitchell CD, Shifflette VK, Lorenzo M, Truitt MS, Goel A, Lyons MA, Nichols DJ, Dunn EL. Geriatric trauma service: A one-year experience. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. January 2012;72(1): 119-122.

Taylor MD, Tracy JK, Meyer W, Pasquale M, Napolitano L M. Trauma in the elderly: Intensive care unit resource use and outcome. *J Trauma*. 2002;53:407-414.

Trauma Team Activation

Chang DC, Bass RR, Cornwell EE, Mackenzie EJ. Under triage of elderly trauma patients to state-designated trauma centers. *Arch Surg*. 2008;143:776-781.

Geriatric Trauma (Update). Available at: [http://www.east.org/resources/treatment-guidelines/geriatric-trauma-\(update\)](http://www.east.org/resources/treatment-guidelines/geriatric-trauma-(update)). Accessed October 8, 2012.

Jacobs DG. Special considerations in geriatric injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2003;9:535-539.

Kohn MA, Hammel JM, Bretz SW, Stangby A. Trauma team activation criteria as predictors of patient disposition from the emergency department. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2004;11:1-9.

Rogers A, Rogers F, Bradburn E, Krasne M, Lee J, Wu D, Edavettal M, Horst M. Old and undertriaged: a lethal combination. *Am Surg*. June 2012;78(6):711-715.

Shifflette VK, Lorenzo M, Mangram AJ, Truitt MS, Amos JD, Dunn EL. Should age be a factor to change from a level II to a level I trauma activation? *J Trauma*. July 2010;69(1):88-92.

Initial Evaluation

Callaway DW, Shapiro NI, Donnino MW, Baker C, Rosen CL. Serum lactate and base deficit as predictors of mortality in normotensive elderly blunt trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2009;66:1040-1044.

Jacobs DG. Special considerations in geriatric injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2003;9:535-539.

Linzer M, Yang EH, Estes NA III, Wang P, Vorperian VR, Kapoor WN. Algorithm for the diagnosis of syncope. Part I: Value of history, physical examination, and electrocardiography. Clinical Efficacy Assessment Project of the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. June 15, 1997;126(12):989-996.

McKinley BA, Marvin RG, Cocanour CS, Marquez A, Ware DN, Moore FA. Blunt trauma resuscitation: The old can respond. *Arch Surg*. 2000;135:688-693.

Neideen T, Lam M, Brasel KJ. Preinjury beta blockers are associated with increased mortality in geriatric trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2008;65:1016-1020.

Pieracci FM, Eachempati SR, Shou J, Hydo LJ, Barie PS. Degree of anticoagulation, but not warfarin use itself, predicts adverse outcomes after traumatic brain injury in elderly trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2007;63:525-530.



Scalea TM, Simon HM, Duncan AO, Atweh NA, Sclafani SJ, Phillips TF, Shaftan GW. Geriatric blunt multiple trauma: Improved survival with early invasive monitoring. *J Trauma*. February 1990;30(2):129-134; discussion 134-136.

Smith DP, Enderson BL, Maull KI. Trauma in the elderly: Determinants of outcome. *South Med J*. February 1990;83(2):171-177.

Labs

COMORBIDS

Arnold TD, Miller M, van Wessem KP, Evans JA, Balogh. Base deficit from the first peripheral venous sample: a surrogate for arterial base deficit in the trauma bay. *J Trauma*. October 2011;71(4):793-797; discussion 797.

Blazer DG, Wu LT. The epidemiology of at-risk and binge drinking among middle-aged and elderly community adults: National Survey on Drug Use and Health. *Am J Psychiatry*. October 2009;166(10):1162-1169.

Bradburn E, DO, MS, Rogers FB, MD, MS, Krasne M, BS, et al. High-risk geriatric protocol: Improving mortality in the elderly. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. August 2012;73(2):435-440.

Callaway DW, Shapiro NI, Donnino MW, Baker C, Rosen CL. Serum lactate and base deficit as predictors of mortality in normotensive elderly blunt trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2009;66:1040-1044.

Chow WB, Rosenthal RA, Merkow RP, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal Preoperative Assessment of the Geriatric Surgical Patient: A Best Practices Guideline from the ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program.

Davis J, Kaups K. Base deficit in the elderly: A marker of severe injury and death. *J Trauma*. November 1998;45(5):873-877.

Harbrecht B, Rosengart M, Zenati M, Forsythe R, Peitzman A. Defining the contribution of renal dysfunction to outcome after traumatic injury. *Am Surg*. August 2007;73(8):836-840.

Ivascu FA, Howells GA, Junn FS, Bair HA, Bendick PJ, Janczyk RJ. Rapid warfarin reversal in anticoagulated patients with traumatic intracranial hemorrhage reduces hemorrhage progression and mortality. *J Trauma*. November 2005;59(5):1131-1137; discussion 1137-1139.

Lameire N, De Vriese A, Vanholder R. Prevention and nondialytic treatment of acute renal failure. *Curr Opin in Crit Care*. 2003;9:431-490.

Leblanc M, Kellum J, Gibney N, Lieberthal W, Tumlin J, Mehta R. Risk factors for acute renal failure: Inherent and modifiable risks. *Current Opin Crit Care* 2005;11:533-536.

Mangram AJ, Shifflette VK, Mitchell CD, Johnson VA, Lorenzo M, Truitt MS, Goel A, Lyons M, Dunn EL. The creation of a geriatric trauma unit "G-60." *Am Surg*. September 2011;77(9):1144-1146.

Medications. *Am J Emerg Med*. February 2008;26(2):119-123.

Neideen T, Lam M, Brasel KJ. Preinjury beta blockers are associated with increased mortality in geriatric trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2008;65:1016-1020.

CHRONIC MEDICAL CONDITIONS

Ansaloni L, Catena F, Chattat R, Fortuna D, Franceschi C, Mascitti P, Melotti RM. Risk factors and incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients after elective and emergency surgery. *Br J Surg*. February 2010;97(2):273-280.

Bergeron E, Clement J, Lavoie A, Ratte S, Bamvita JM, Aumont F, Clas D. A simple fall in the elderly: Not so simple. *J Trauma*. 2006;60:268-273.

Callaway DW, Wolfe R. Geriatric trauma. *Emerg Med Clin N Am*. August 2007;25(3):837-860.

Devereaux PJ, Goldman L, Cook DJ, Gilbert K, Leslie K, Guyatt GH. Perioperative cardiac events in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: A review of the magnitude of the problem, the pathophysiology of the events and methods to estimate and communicate risk. *Cmaj*. September 13, 2005;173(6):627-634.

Dimick JB, Chen SL, Taheri PA, Henderson WG, Khuri SF, Campbell DA Jr. Hospital costs associated with surgical complications: A report from the private-sector National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *J Am Coll Surg*. October 2004;199(4):531-537.



- Fried LP, Ferrucci L, Darer J, Williamson JD, Anderson G. Untangling the concepts of disability, frailty, and comorbidity: implications for improved targeting and care. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. March 2004;59(3):255-263.
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, Seeman T, Tracy R, Kop WJ, Burke G, McBurnie MA; Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. Frailty in older adults: Evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. March 2001;56(3):M146-156.
- Johnson RG, Arozullah AM, Neumayer L, Henderson WG, Hosokawa P, Khuri SF. Multivariable predictors of postoperative respiratory failure after general and vascular surgery: Results from the patient safety in surgery study. *J Am Coll Surg*. June 2007;204(6):1188-1198.
- Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, R amsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, Thomas DR, Anthony PS, Charlton KE, Maggio M, Tsai AC, Vellas B, Sieber CC; Mini Nutritional Assessment International Group. Frequency of malnutrition in older adults: A multinational perspective using the mini nutritional assessment. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. September 2010;58(9):1734-1738.
- Landesberg G, Beattie WS, Mosseri M, Jaffe AS, Alpert JS. Perioperative myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. June 9, 2009;119(22):2936-2944.
- Lawrence VA, Hilsenbeck SG, Mulrow CD, Dhanda R, Sapp J, Page CP. Incidence and hospital stay for cardiac and pulmonary complications after abdominal surgery. *J Gen Intern Med*. December 1995;10(12):671-678.
- Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugarbaker DJ, Donaldson MC, Poss R, Ho KKL, Ludwig LE, Pedan A, Goldman L. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. *Circulation*. September 7, 1999;100(10):1043-1049.
- Manku K, Bacchetti P, Leung JM. Prognostic significance of postoperative in-hospital complications in elderly patients. I. Long-term survival. *Anesth Analg*. February 2003;96(2):583-589.
- Marcantonio ER, Goldman L, Mangione CM, Ludwig LE, Muraca B, Haslauer CM, Donaldson MC, Whittlemore AD, Sugarbaker DJ, Poss R, et al. A clinical prediction rule for delirium after elective noncardiac surgery. *JAMA*. January 12, 1994;271(2):134-139.
- Nath B, Li Y, Carroll JE, Szabo G, Tseng JF, Shah SA. Alcohol exposure as a risk factor for adverse outcomes in elective surgery. *J Gastrointest Surg*. November 2010;14(11):1732-1741.
- POISE Study Group, Devereaux PJ, Yang H, Yusuf S, Guyatt G, Leslie K, Villar JC, Xavier D, Chrolavicius S, Greenspan L, Pogue J, Pais P, Liu L, Xu S, M alaga G, Avezum A, Chan M, Montori VM, Jacka M, Choi P. Effects of extended-release metoprolol succinate in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (POISE trial): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. May 31, 2008;371(9627):1839-1847.
- Robinson TN, Eiseman B, Wallace JI, Church SD, McFann KK, Pfister SM, Sharp TJ, Moss M. Redefining geriatric preoperative assessment using frailty, disability and co-morbidity. *Ann Surg*. September 2009;250(3):449-455.
- Robinson TN, Raeburn CD, Tran ZV, Angles EM, Brenner LA, Moss M. Postoperative delirium in the elderly: Risk factors and outcomes. *Ann Surg*. January 2009;249(1):173-178.
- Rudolph JL, Inouye SK, Jones RN, Yang FM, Fong TG, Levkoff SE, Marcantonio ER. Delirium: An independent predictor of functional decline after cardiac surgery. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. April 2010;58(4):643-649.
- Schiesser M, Kirchoff P, Muller MK, Schafer M, Clavien PA. The correlation of nutrition risk index, nutrition risk score, and bioimpedance analysis with postoperative complications in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery. *Surgery*. May 2009;145(5):519-526.
- Sterling DA, O'Connor JA, Bonadies J. Geriatric falls: Injury severity is high and disproportionate to mechanism. *J Trauma*. 2001;50:116-119.
- Tonnesen H, Kehlet H. Preoperative alcoholism and postoperative morbidity. *Br J Surg*. July 1999;86(7):869-874.



Anticoagulation

Bachelani AM, Bautz JT, Sperry JL, Corcos A, Zenati M, Billiar TR, Peitzman AB, Marshall GT. Assessment of platelet transfusion for reversal of aspirin after traumatic brain injury. *Surgery*. 2011;150:863-843.

Bechtel BF, Nunez TC, Lyon JA, Cotton BA, Barrett TW. Treatments for reversing warfarin anticoagulation in patients with acute intracranial hemorrhage: A structured literature review. *International Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2011;4:40.

Cabral KP, Fraser GL, Gibbons BA, Hayes T, Florman JE, Seder DB. Prothrombin complex concentrates to reverse warfarin-induced coagulopathy in patients with intracranial bleeding. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. July 24, 2012. Epub ahead of print.

Campbell PG, Sen A, Yadia S, Jabbour P, Jallo J. Emergency reversal of antiplatelet agents in patients presenting with an intracranial hemorrhage: A clinical review. *World Neurosurgery*. 2010;74:279-285.

Collyer TC, Gray DJ, Sandhu R, Berridge F, Lyons G. Assessment of platelet inhibition secondary to clopidogrel and aspirin therapy in preoperative acute surgical patients measured by Thrombelastography Platelet Mapping. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;102:492-498.

Cotton BA, McCarthy JJ, Holcomb JB. Acutely injured patients on dabigatran. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2039-2040.

Ducruet AF, Hickman ZL, Zacharia BE, Grobelny BT, DeRosa PA, Landes E, Lei S, Khandji J, Gutbrod S, Connolly ES Jr. Impact of platelet transfusion on hematoma expansion in patients receiving antiplatelet agents before intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurol Res*. 2010;32:706-710.

Ivascu FA, Janczyk RJ, Junn FS, Bair HA, Bendick PJ, Howells GA. Treatment of trauma patients with intracranial hemorrhage on preinjury warfarin. *J Trauma*. 2006;61:318-21.

Lavoie A, Ratee S, Clas D, Demers J, Moore L, Martin M, Bergeron E. Preinjury warfarin use among elderly patients with closed head injury in a trauma center. *J Trauma*. 2004;56:802-807.

Mina AA, Bair HA, Howells GA, Bendick PJ. Complications of preinjury warfarin use in the trauma patient. *J Trauma*. 2003;54:842-847.

Thaachil J, Gatt A, Martlew V. Management of surgical patients receiving anticoagulation and antiplatelet agents. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2008;95:1437-1448.

Patient Decision Making

Fried TR, Bradley EH, Towle VR, Allore H. Understanding the treatment preferences of seriously ill patients. *N Engl J Med*. April 4, 2002;346(14):1061-1066.

Mattimore TJ, Wenger NS, Desbiens NA, Teno JM, Hamel MB, Liu H, Califf R, Connors AF Jr, Lynn J, Oye RK. Surrogate and physician understanding of patients' preferences for living permanently in a nursing home. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. July 1997;45(7):818-824.

McCahill LE, Dunn GP, Mosenthal AC, et al. Palliation as a core surgical principle: Part 2. *J Am Coll Surg* 2004;199:321-334.

Nierman DM, Schechter CB, Cannon LM, Meier DE. Outcome prediction model for very elderly critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29:1853-1859.

Seckler AB, Meier DE, Mulvihill M, Paris BE. Substituted judgment: How accurate are proxy predictions? *Ann Intern Med*. July 15, 1991;115(2):92-98.

Shalowitz DI, Garrett-Mayer E, Wendler D. The accuracy of surrogate decision makers: A systematic review. *Arch Intern Med*. March 13, 2006;166(5):493-497.

Silveira MJ, Kim SY, Langa KM. Advance directives and outcomes of surrogate decision making before death. *N Engl J Med*. April 1, 2010;362(13):1211-1218.

Inpatient Management

American Geriatrics Society Updated Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. February 29, 2012.

Barnett SR. Polypharmacy and perioperative medications in the elderly. *Anesthesiol Clin*. September 2009;27(3):377-389.



Biccard BM, Sear JW, Foex P. Statin therapy: A potentially useful peri-operative intervention in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Anaesthesia*. November 2005;60(11):1106-1114.

Fleischmann KE, Beckman JA, Buller CE, et al. 2009 ACCF/AHA focused update on perioperative beta blockade. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. November 24, 2009;54(22):2102-2128.

Hajjar ER, Cafiero AC, Hanlon JT. Polypharmacy in elderly patients. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother*. December 2007;5(4):345-351.

POISE Study Group, Devereaux PJ, Yang H, Yusuf S, Guyatt G, Leslie K, Villar JC, Xavier D, Chrolavicius S, Greenspan L, Pogue J, Pais P, Liu L, Xu S, Málaga G, Avezum A, Chan M, Montori VM, Jacka M, Choi P. Effects of extended-release metoprolol succinate in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (POISE trial): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. May 31, 2008;371(9627):1839-1847.

Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T, Levey AS. Assessing kidney function: Measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. *N Engl J Med*. June 8, 2006;354(23):2473-2483.

Whinney C. Perioperative medication management: General principles and practical applications. *Cleve Clin J Med*. November 2009;76(4):S126-132.

Pain

Ackroyd-Stolarz S, Mackinnon NJ, Sketris I, Sabo B. Potentially inappropriate prescribing of benzodiazepines for older adults and risk of falls during a hospital stay: A descriptive study. *Can J Hosp Pharm*. July 2009;62(4):276-283.

Demeure MJ, Fain MJ. The elderly surgical patient and postoperative delirium. *J Am Coll Surg*. November 2006;203(5):752-757.

Harrington DT, Phillips B, Machan J, Zacharias N, Velmahos GC, Rosenblatt MS, Winston E, Patterson L, Desjardins S, Winchell R, Brotman S, Churyla A, Schulz JT, Maung AA, Davis KA; Research Consortium of New England Centers for Trauma (ReCONNECT). Factors associated with survival following blunt chest trauma in older patients: Results from a large regional trauma cooperative. *Arch Surg*. May 2010;145(5):432-437.

Lynch EP, Lazor MA, Gellis JE, Orav J, Goldman L, Marcantonio ER. The impact of postoperative pain on the development of postoperative delirium. *Anesth Analg*. April 1998;86(4):781-785.

Mohta M, Verma P, Saxena AK, Sethi AK, Tyagi A, Girotra G. Prospective, randomized comparison of continuous thoracic epidural and thoracic paravertebral infusion in patients with unilateral multiple fractured ribs--pilot study. *J Trauma*. April 2009;66(4):1096-1101.

Southworth S, Peters J, Rock A, Pavliv L. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of intravenous ibuprofen 400 and 800 mg every 6 hours in the management of postoperative pain. *Clin Ther*. September 2009;31(9):1922-1935.

Delirium

Ansaloni L, Catena F, Chattat R, Fortuna D, Franceschi C, Mascitti P, Melotti RM. Risk factors and incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients after elective and emergency surgery. *Br J Surg*. February 2010;97(2):273-280.

Chow WB, Rosenthal RA, Merkow RP, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal preoperative assessment of the geriatric surgical patient: A best practice guideline from the ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the American Geriatrics Society. *JACS*. 2012. In press.

Hung WW, Egol KA, Zuckerman JD, Siu AL. Hip fracture management: Tailoring for the older patient. *JAMA*. May 23, 2012;307(20):2185-2194.

Marcantonio ER, Goldman L, Mangione CM, Ludwig LE, Muraca B, Haslauer CM, Donaldson MC, Whittlemore AD, Sugarbaker DJ, Poss R, et al. A clinical prediction rule for delirium after elective noncardiac surgery. *JAMA*. January 12, 1994;271(2):134-139.

Robinson TN, Raeburn CD, Tran ZV, Angles EM, Brenner LA, Moss M. Postoperative delirium in the elderly: Risk factors and outcomes. *Ann Surg*. January 2009;249(1):173-178.

Rudolph JL, Inouye SK, Jones RN, Yang FM, Fong TG, Levkoff SE, Marcantonio ER. Delirium: An independent predictor of functional decline after cardiac surgery. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. April 2010;58(4):643-649.



Rudolph JL, Jones RN, Levkoff SE, Rockett C, Inouye SK, Sellke FW, Khuri SF, Lipsitz LA, Ramlawi B, Levitsky S, Marcantonio ER. Derivation and validation of a preoperative prediction rule for delirium after cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. January 20, 2009;119(2):229-236.

Skelly JM, Guyatt GH, Kalbfleisch R, Singer J, Winter L. Management of urinary retention after surgical repair of hip fracture. *CMAJ*. April 1, 1992;146(7):1185-1189.

Complications

Chow WB, Rosenthal RA, Merkow RP, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal preoperative assessment of the geriatric surgical patient: A best practice guideline from the ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the American Geriatrics Society. *JACS*. 2012. In press.

Fife D, Kraus J. Infection as a contributing cause of death in patients hospitalized for motor vehicle trauma. *Am J Surg*. 1988;155:278-283.

Stulberg JJ, Delaney CP, Neuhauser DV, Aron DC, Fu P, Koroukian SM. Adherence to surgical care improvement project measures and the association with postoperative infections. *JAMA*. 2010;303(24):2479-2485.

Specialized Geriatric Inpatient Care

Ellis G, Whitehead MA, O'Neill D, Langhorne P, Robinson D. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. July 6, 2011;(7):CD006211.

Mangram AJ, Mitchell CD, Shifflette VK, Lorenzo M, Truitt MS, Goel A, Lyons MA, Nichols DJ, Dunn EL. Geriatric trauma service: A one-year experience. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. January 2012;72(1):119-122.

McCusker J, Bellavance F, Cardin S, Trepanier S, Verdon J, Ardman O. Detection of older people at increased risk of adverse health outcomes after an emergency visit: The ISAR screening tool. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1999;47:1229-1237.

Lenartowicz M, Parkovnick M, McFarlan A, Haas B, Straus SE, Nathens AB, Wong CL. An evaluation of a proactive geriatric trauma consultation service. *Annals of Surgery*. In press.

Discharge

Adunsky A, Lusky A, Arad M, Heruti RJ. A comparative study of rehabilitation outcomes of elderly hip fracture patients: The advantage of a comprehensive orthogeriatric approach. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. June 2003;58(6):542-547.

Carillo EH, Richardson JD, Malias MA, Cryer HM, Miller FB. Long term outcome of blunt trauma in the elderly. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1993;176:559-564.

Fallon WF Jr., Rader E, Zyzanski S, Mancuso C, Martin B, Breedlove L, DeGolia P, Allen K, Campbell J. Geriatric outcomes are improved by a geriatric trauma consultation service. *J Trauma*. November 2006;61(5):1040-1046.

Fife D, Kraus J. Infection as a contributing cause of death in patients hospitalized for motor vehicle trauma. *Am J Surg*. 1988;155:278-283.

McGwin G, MacLennan PA, Fife JB, Davis GG, Rue LW III. Preexisting conditions and mortality in older trauma patients. *J Trauma*. June 2004;56(6):1291-1296.

McKevitt EC, Calvert E, Ng A, Simons RK, Kirkpatrick AW, Appleton L, Brown DR. Geriatric trauma: Resource use and patient outcomes. *Can J Surg*. June 2003;46(3):211-215.

Soberg HL, Bautz-Holter E, Roise O, Finset A. Long-term multidimensional functional consequences of severe multiple injuries two years after trauma: A new admission longitudinal cohort study. *J Trauma*. February 2007;62(2):461-470.

Van Aalst JA, Morris JA, Yates HK, Miller RS, Bass SM. Severely injured geriatric patients return to independent living: A study of factors influencing function and independence. *J Trauma*. 1991;31:1096-1101.



References

Appendices

1. American Geriatrics Society Updated Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. February 29, 2012.
2. Appelbaum PS. Clinical practice. Assessment of patients' competence to consent to treatment. *N Engl J Med*. November 1, 2007;357(18):1834-1840.
3. Li C, Friedman B, Conwell Y, Fiscella K. Validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire 2 (PHQ-2) in identifying major depression in older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. April 2007;55(4):596-602.
4. Hinkin CH, Castellon SA, Dickson-Fuhrman E, Daum G, Jaffe J, Jarvik L. Screening for drug and alcohol abuse among older adults using a modified version of the CAGE. *Am J Addict*. Fall 2001;10(4):319-326.
5. Beullens J, Aertgeerts B. Screening for alcohol abuse and dependence in older people using DSM criteria: A review. *Aging Ment Health*. January 2004;8(1):76-82.
6. Berks J, McCormick R. Screening for alcohol misuse in elderly primary care patients: A systematic literature review. *Int Psychogeriatr*. December 2008;20(6):1090-1103.
7. McGrath A, Crome P, Crome IB. Substance misuse in the older population. *Postgrad Med J*. April 2005;81(954):228-231.
8. Woolger JM. Preoperative testing and medication management. *Clin Geriatr Med*. November 2008;24(4):573-583, vii.
9. Lachs MS, Feinstein AR, Cooney LM Jr., Drickamer MA, Marottoli RA, Pannill FC, Tinetti ME. A simple procedure for general screening for functional disability in elderly patients. *Ann Intern Med*. May 1, 1990;112(9):699-706.
10. Summary of the Updated American Geriatrics Society/British Geriatrics Society clinical practice guideline for prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. January 2011;59(1):148-157.
11. Gunter KB, White KN, Hayes WC, Snow CM. Functional mobility discriminates nonfallers from one-time and frequent fallers. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. November 2000;55(11):M672-676.
12. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": A test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. February 1991;39(2):142-148.
13. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, et al. Frailty in older adults: Evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. March 2001;56(3):M146-156.
14. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, et al. Frailty in older adults: Evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. March 2001;56(3):M146-156.
15. Makary MA, Segev DL, Pronovost PJ, Syin D, Bandeen-Roche K, Patel P, Takenaga R, Devgan L, Holzmueller CG, Tian J, Fried LP. Frailty as a predictor of surgical outcomes in older patients. *J Am Coll Surg*. June 2010;210(6):901-908.
16. Weimann A, Braga M, Harsanyi L, Laviano A, Ljungqvist O, Soeters P; DGEM (German Society for Nutritional Medicine), Jauch KW, Kemen M, Hiesmayr JM, Horbach T, Kuse ER, Vestweber KH; ESPEN (European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition). ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Surgery including organ transplantation. *Clin Nutr*. April 2006;25(2):224-244.



Expert Panel

H. Gil Cryer, MD, FACS (Chair)

Professor of Surgery, Trauma/Emergency Surgery and Critical Care Program, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA

J. Forrest Calland, MD, FACS

Assistant Professor of Surgery, University of Virginia Health System, Richmond, VA

Warren Chow, MD

James C. Thompson Geriatrics Surgical Fellow, American College of Surgeons, Chicago, IL

Matthew Davis, MD, FACS

Trauma Program Director, Scott and White Memorial Hospital, Temple, TX

Mark Hemmila, MD, FACS

Associate Professor of Surgery, University of Michigan Health Systems, Ann Arbor, MI

Rosemary Kozar, MD, FACS

Professor of Surgery and Chief of Trauma, Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX

Sheila Lopez

Director of Trauma Service, Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX

Alicia Mangram, MD, FACS

Medical Director of Trauma Services, John C. Lincoln Hospital, Phoenix, AZ

Gary Marshall, MD

Professor of Surgery, University of Pittsburg Medical Center, Pittsburg, PA

Avery B. Nathens, MD, FACS

Professor of Surgery, University of Toronto, Surgeon in Chief of Department of Surgery, Sunnybrook Hospital, Toronto, ON

Ronnie Rosenthal, MD

Professor of Surgery, Yale University, Chief of Surgery of VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT

Areti Tillou, MD, MEd

Assistant Professor of Surgery David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA

Camillia Wong, MD

Assistant Professor, University of Toronto, Geriatrician and Associate Scientist, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON

The American College of Surgeons Trauma Quality Improvement Program (ACS TQIP) Best Practices Guidelines have been developed for quality improvement purposes. The documents may be downloaded and printed for personal use by health care professionals, and may also be used in quality improvement initiatives or programs. The documents may not be distributed for profit without the written consent of the American College of Surgeons.

The intent of the ACS TQIP Best Practices Guidelines is to provide health care professionals with evidence-based recommendations regarding care of the geriatric trauma patient. The Best Practices Guidelines do not include all potential options for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment and are not intended as a substitute for the provider's clinical judgment and experience. The responsible provider must make all treatment decisions based upon his or her independent judgment and the patient's individual clinical presentation. The ACS shall not be liable for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages related to the use of the information contained herein. The ACS may modify the TQIP Best Practices Guidelines at any time without notice.

ACS
tqip[®] | TRAUMA
QUALITY
IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM



AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

*Inspiring Quality:
Highest Standards, Better Outcomes*



